

Evidence essay · Land and freshwater

The active layer is not the permafrost

Elín Bjarnadóttir · 25 August 2026

Fictional author and institute · Editorial demonstration, not peer reviewed

ABSTRACT

The active layer and permafrost are distinguished by their different seasonal and thermal definitions. This essay explains why thaw depth on one visit is not necessarily annual maximum active-layer thickness, and why neither measurement alone establishes the condition of deeper ground. Hypothetical sites with matching thaw depths but different temperature and ground-ice properties illustrate the limit. NSIDC definitions, the Circumpolar Active Layer Monitoring protocol, and NOAA's carbon assessment support a comparison of probing, frost tubes, and temperature records. Snow, vegetation, moisture, disturbance, and latent heat complicate simple links between air temperature and ground response. The practical reading method asks whether a claim concerns a thaw boundary, warming, ice loss, or permafrost disappearance before matching its depth, date, coverage, and method to a decision.

Keywords: active layer · permafrost · ground temperature · ground ice · thaw monitoring

Adapted from the Still North field journal. Original article. A source-based editorial text, not a report of original Still North research. Examples and imagery are illustrative.

A soft patch of summer ground does not, on its own, show that permafrost has disappeared. The surface above permafrost normally thaws each year. Equally, a hard frozen surface in February does not prove that the ground stays frozen through summer. These are different observations of a layered system, and confusing them can turn an ordinary seasonal change into a misleading climate claim.

The distinction matters beyond vocabulary. Roots, water, microbes, foundations, and buried pipes encounter particular depths and materials, not an Arctic average. A useful account of thaw needs to say which layer changed, how it was measured, and what remained unknown beneath it.

One definition uses time, the other a season

The National Snow and Ice Data Center defines permafrost as ground that remains at or below 0°C for at least two consecutive years. It can be soil, sediment, or rock. It is not necessarily a solid underground block of ice. Ground-ice content is a separate property, with major consequences when thaw occurs.

The active layer is the layer above permafrost that freezes and thaws seasonally. Its thickness is normally reported at the annual maximum thaw, not whenever someone happens to visit. A probe measurement in June is a thaw depth on that date. Calling it the year's active-layer thickness would assume that deeper thaw did not follow.

Imagine two hypothetical sites with the same late-summer thaw depth. One sits above cold, ice-poor rock; the other above warmer, ice-rich sediment. The depth alone does not tell us that their future responses or settlement risks are alike. It describes a boundary near the surface, not the full thermal and physical condition of the ground below.

A probe and a borehole answer different questions

The Circumpolar Active Layer Monitoring protocol describes repeated probing on a spatial sampling grid, alongside frost tubes and temperature measurements. Probing can cover many points relatively economically, but stones, coarse ground, and deep thaw can prevent a reliable reading. Firm resistance is evidence to interpret, not an automatic guarantee that the probe has reached frozen soil.

A temperature sensor at a known depth records something else: how the ground's thermal state changes through time. A deeper borehole record is less affected by short seasonal swings than a near-surface reading. Neither replaces the other. A network of thaw depths describes spatial variation near the surface; temperatures help establish whether and how the underlying permafrost is warming.

Even warming rate needs context. The NOAA Arctic Report Card 2024 carbon chapter explains that ground near 0°C can absorb energy in melting ice while its temperature rises relatively slowly. A small temperature change is therefore not necessarily reassuring. Energy can change the amount of frozen water without producing a comparably large thermometer change.

The surface controls what reaches the ground

Air temperature is important, but the ground does not experience air temperature directly and uniformly. Snow changes winter insulation. Vegetation and organic surface layers change shading and heat transfer. Water transports heat and changes soil thermal properties. Two nearby locations can have different thaw depths because their surface conditions and materials differ, even under broadly similar weather.

This is why a bare patch beside a disturbed track should not silently stand in for intact tundra. It may be a valuable observation of disturbance, but it is answering a different question. A monitoring record should preserve vegetation, surface condition, moisture context, measurement date, and any change of location, rather than retaining only a neat annual mean.

Read a thaw headline from the bottom up

First ask whether the claim concerns a seasonal thaw boundary, ground temperature, ground-ice loss, or the disappearance of permafrost. Then ask about depth, timing, and coverage. A single late-summer reading cannot establish a two-year thermal definition, and a regional temperature trend cannot specify the bearing conditions beneath one building. Those limits make the evidence more useful, not less urgent.

Our Permafrost and freshwater overview follows the consequences for water pathways and chemistry. Open observations explains why the method and missing measurements belong with a record. Put together, they offer a practical habit: name the layer before naming the change, and match the next decision to the measurement that can actually support it.

References

1. National Snow and Ice Data Center defines permafrost (nsidc.org)
2. Circumpolar Active Layer Monitoring protocol (www2.gwu.edu)
3. NOAA Arctic Report Card 2024 carbon chapter (arctic.noaa.gov)