

Evidence essay · Land and freshwater

The lake with no visible outlet

Elín Bjarnadóttir · 1 September 2026

Fictional author and institute · Editorial demonstration, not peer reviewed

ABSTRACT

A lake without a mapped surface outlet can still exchange water through seasonal floods or subsurface pathways. This essay uses published Yukon Flats research to distinguish observed lake-area change from inferred hydrological connectivity. It explains how permafrost distribution, sediment permeability, and changing water levels influence possible routes, while a shrinking shoreline can reflect several competing changes in the water balance. The account of Twelvemile Lake shows why flood recharge and longer records can alter an interpretation based on isolated images. A hypothetical comparison of neighbouring lakes illustrates the additional evidence needed to separate shared rainfall from direct exchange. The discussion concludes that connectivity must be specified by timing, direction, duration, and purpose rather than treated as a permanent line on a map.

Keywords: lake connectivity · permafrost · water balance · flood recharge · Yukon Flats

Adapted from the Still North field journal. Original article. A source-based editorial text, not a report of original Still North research. Examples and imagery are illustrative.

A lake with no blue line leaving it on a map is not necessarily isolated. Water may cross a shallow sill during floods, travel through unfrozen sediment, or move along a route too small or seasonal to appear at the map's scale. Connectivity is a relationship that changes through time, not simply a permanent line joining two permanent patches of blue.

In permafrost landscapes, that distinction helps explain why neighbouring lakes can behave differently under similar weather. One may respond mainly to rain, snowmelt, and evaporation. Another may receive river floodwater or exchange water beneath the surface. Their visible shorelines tell us the result of a water balance, not all the routes that produced it.

Connection needs a pathway and a driving force

Permafrost can restrict subsurface water movement, but it is not a single impermeable basin beneath every lake. Its distribution, the presence of unfrozen zones, and the permeability of sediments influence possible routes. Even an open route does not guarantee a particular flow direction: water-level differences matter, and those differences can change during a flood or across the season.

A 2019 study by David Rey and colleagues in Alaska's Yukon Flats combined airborne electromagnetic surveys with Landsat lake-area records from 1979 to 2011. The authors inferred different kinds of connectivity among groups of lakes, linking their behaviour to permafrost distribution, permanence, and proximity to rivers. These are findings from a boreal lowland region, not a universal classification of Arctic lakes.

The study is useful because the methods constrain different pieces of the question. Satellite images describe changing surface area. Geophysical surveys help interpret subsurface conditions. Neither, alone, continuously measures all the water flowing between lakes. Connectivity

in the analysis is an inference supported by combined evidence, and should retain that description when the result is retold.

A shrinking shoreline can have several explanations

A lower lake level might reflect less inflow, more evaporation, a new outlet, or greater subsurface loss. It might also follow an unusually high starting level. Two shoreline images cannot reliably separate those explanations. Where shores are shallow, a modest water-level change can move the shoreline a long distance, so area change is not a direct measurement of water-volume change.

The USGS account of the Yukon Flats research illustrates the danger of stopping at the first apparent answer. Twelvemile Lake lost substantial area over several decades, but flooding later refilled it. Its history does not mean lake loss is unimportant. It means that flood recharge and longer time series can change the interpretation of a shrinking-lake snapshot.

Thaw can create depressions that hold water, while changing drainage can allow other water bodies to empty. Whether a particular lake expands or contracts depends on its setting and pathways. A claim that warming must make every northern landscape uniformly wetter, or uniformly drier, misses the changes in connection that help produce both outcomes.

Follow timing, not just neighbouring shapes

Imagine two hypothetical lakes rising after the same storm. Their shared timing could suggest a connection, but rainfall over both catchments is an alternative explanation. A stronger investigation might compare water levels, rainfall, river stage, water chemistry, and the lag between responses. A tracer or chemical resemblance can help constrain sources without proving that every water parcel took one route.

Seasonal access also matters. A channel that flows for a short flood period can transport water, sediment, and organisms despite being dry on the day a surveyor arrives. An apparently disconnected summer map should not be used to rule out spring exchange. Conversely, one flooded image does not establish a year-round connection or a permanently usable travel route.

Ask what the connection is needed for

Different questions require different descriptions. A water budget may need the amount and direction of exchange. An ecological question may need its timing, duration, depth, and suitability for a particular species. A chemical question may need residence time and contact with soil or rock. Simply labelling two lakes connected leaves much of that practical information unanswered.

Our [Permafrost and freshwater overview](#) introduces the link between flow paths and chemistry. [Open observations](#) explains why missing seasons and method limits should remain visible. Before drawing a confident arrow between two water bodies, ask when water can move, what evidence shows the route, and which meaning of connection the reader actually needs.

References

1. 2019 study by David Rey and colleagues in Alaska's Yukon Flats (usgs.gov)
2. USGS account of the Yukon Flats research (usgs.gov)