

Practice essay · Everyday practice

The office chair before the order form

Samuel Adeyemi · 9 September 2026

Fictional author and institute · Editorial demonstration, not peer reviewed

ABSTRACT

An office-chair order offers a concrete way to connect purchasing with accessibility, existing stock, maintenance, and eventual disposal. This practice essay starts with users' requirements and competent assessment of stored furniture rather than a blanket preference for either reuse or new products. Government procurement guidance informs a shift from vague sustainability language to proportionate requirements for replaceable parts, documented materials, support, and take-back arrangements. Purchase price is considered alongside delivery, setup, servicing, and collection over a stated period, with service-life assumptions left visible. The discussion also addresses privacy, formal approval routes, and responsibility after delivery. Its practical conclusion is that supported refurbishment and suitable stock should enter the decision before approval, while safe, usable seating remains the non-negotiable service.

Keywords: sustainable procurement · workplace accessibility · whole-life costs · refurbishment · contract management

Adapted from the Still North field journal. Original article. A source-based editorial text, not a report of original Still North research. Examples and imagery are illustrative.

An office chair order often begins with a number: enough seats for a new floor, a returning team, or a meeting room. By the time a supplier's brochure arrives, the important choices may already have been made. What happened to the chairs in storage? Which seats are unsuitable, and for whom? Is the organisation buying a useful service, or simply replacing everything at the same time?

A chair is a useful example because environmental preference cannot replace comfort, accessibility, or occupational safety. A reused chair that cannot support its user is not a successful outcome. Nor is a newly purchased chair useful if nobody can adjust it or obtain a replacement castor.

Begin with the users and the stockroom

Ask the people responsible for facilities, workplace assessments, accessibility, and purchasing to define the need together. Some people require particular adjustments or specialist seating. A standard model may work for many users without working for everyone. Keep a route for exceptions that does not require a worker to disclose private health information to a general purchasing group.

Then count existing stock by condition and suitability, not just location. Separate usable chairs, chairs awaiting competent assessment or repair, and those that should leave service. A chair in storage is not automatically available: it may already be allocated, incomplete, or unsuitable for the new space. Record enough detail to avoid

ordering a replacement for an item that is simply lost in the internal process.

The same exercise works for monitors, desks, kitchen equipment, and uniforms, but the safety and performance requirements differ. Start with one real order. A universal inventory project is not a prerequisite for discovering that another department has six appropriate chairs and a delivery trolley.

Turn a preference into a requirement

The UK government's sustainable procurement guidance includes managing demand, ongoing contract management, and whole-life costs. That is a more useful starting point than adding the word "sustainable" to an unchanged order. Define what a supplier must provide, what evidence will demonstrate it, and what the organisation can realistically check.

Requirements should be proportionate. Asking every small supplier for an elaborate bespoke climate model may create paperwork without improving the choice. A clear parts list, a priced maintenance offer, and an evidenced material specification can be more useful for this order. Do not invent a certification or treat an attractive logo as proof of everything the organisation values.

Bring operating costs into the same meeting

A purchasing budget and a maintenance budget may belong to different teams. That separation can make the cheapest initial order appear sensible while moving the

A vague preference	A more useful purchasing question
Built to last	Which high-wear components can be replaced, at what price, and with what support?
Environmentally friendly material	What precise material claim is made, and what documentation supports it?
Take-back included	Who collects the items, which conditions apply, and what destination records are provided?
Easy maintenance	Who can carry it out, which instructions are available, and what does the warranty allow?

cost elsewhere. Compare the purchase, delivery, setup, maintenance, spare parts, and eventual collection over a stated period. Keep assumptions about service life visible rather than turning a supplier's optimistic lifespan into a fact.

A refurbished option might have a shorter warranty but local servicing. A new option might offer consistent components across a large stock. Neither feature settles the decision alone. Ask whether both meet the users' requirements, whether repair capacity exists, and whether the organisation will actually keep the items long enough for durability to matter.

Public bodies and some employers have formal procurement rules, approval limits, and framework contracts. Employees should use the responsible purchasing route, not make commitments on the organisation's behalf. If the order is already fixed, the next renewal or maintenance agreement may be the point with genuine room to change.

The contract continues after delivery

Name the person who accepts the goods, stores the instructions, and records warranty details. Check that the delivered model matches the specification. Explain adjustments to users, and make reporting a fault straightforward. If maintenance has no owner, the organisation has purchased repairability without purchasing the conditions that make repair happen.

A worker does not need authority over the whole budget to ask a useful question: before this order is approved, can facilities check suitable existing stock and compare supported refurbishment? Our [guide to institutional influence](#) helps identify the contract owner. [Buy less, repair more](#) explains the product-life questions behind the specification. A better order begins when those questions arrive before the purchase request, not as a complaint after the delivery van has left.

References

1. [sustainable procurement guidance \(gov.uk\)](#)